IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

BRUNSWICK DIVISION

STEVEN FORD,

Petitioner,

VS.

CIVIL ACTION NO. CV205-059

JOSE VASQUEZ, Warden,

Respondent.

MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Petitioner Steven Ford, an inmate currently incarcerated at the Federal Prison Camp in Jesup, Georgia, has filed a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C.A. § 2241. In his petition, Ford asserts that the Bureau of Prisons ("BOP") has incorrectly calculated his good conduct time. Specifically, Ford contends that the BOP's interpretation of the "good conduct time statute", 18 U.S.C.A. § 3624(b)(1), has deprived him of good conduct time that he is entitled to receive. Ford contends that the plain language of § 3624(b)(1) requires that he receive 54 days of good conduct time for every year of imprisonment to which he was sentenced. The BOP has interpreted § 3624(b)(1) to mean that "54 days of [good conduct time] may be earned for each full year [actually] served on a sentence [.]" Program Statement No. 5880.28.

This statute, in pertinent part, states:

[A] prisoner who is serving a term of imprisonment of more than one year . . may receive credit toward the service of the prisoner's sentence, beyond the time served, of up to 54 days at the end of each year of the prisoner's term of imprisonment, beginning at the end of the first year of the term[.]

18 U.S.C.A. § 3624(b)(1). In a case of first impression in this circuit, the Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals held that the plain text of § 3624(b)(1) is ambiguous, but the BOP's

interpretation of this statute is reasonable and "due to be affirmed[.]" <u>Brown v. McFadden</u>, 2005 WL 1618739, *2 (11th Cir. 2005, July 12, 2005). The Eleventh Circuit also held that the rule of lenity is not applicable because of the BOP's reasonable interpretation of § 3624(b)(1). <u>Id.</u> Thus, Brown's petition, in which he sought credit for additional days of good conduct time, was appropriately denied.

Based on the Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals' holding in <u>Brown</u>, Steven Ford's petition for writ of habeas corpus, filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C.A. § 2241, should be **DENIED**.

SO REPORTED AND RECOMMENDED, this _______day of September, 2005.

AMES E. GRAHAM

ÚNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

United States District Court

Southern District of Georgia

FORD)			
vs)	CASE NUMBER	CV205-59	
VASQUEZ)	DIVISION	BRUNSWICK	
The undistrict following	, while condu	regularly appointe	d and qualified deput s of the Court for said	y in the of division,	fice of the Clerk of this does hereby certify the
1.	duties, I per	rsonally placed in	om the Court and in t the United States Ma ons, parties or attorne	il a sealed	envelope, and properly
2.	That the afor 9/12/05		eloped contained a cop which is part of the of		
Date of Mailir	ng:	9/12/05			
Date of Certif	icate	same	e date, or		
			Scott L. Poff, Clerk		
			Ву:	.,,,,,,	
Name and Ade	dress		Sherry Taylor	r, Deputy Clerk	
Amy Lee Copela	and	sup, 2680 Highway 3	01 South, Jesup, GA 3159	99	
					Copy placed in Minutes
					Copy given to Judge
				×	Copy given to Magistrate